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5.3 Human security

Executive summary

Climate change is a risk to human security (medium confidence). Climate change threatens human security by a) undermining livelihood, culture, and human rights (Sections 5.3.2.1; 5.3.2.2); b) increasing migration (Section 5.3.2.3); and c) indirectly influencing violent conflict (Section 5.3.2.4).

The impact of climate change on human security depends not only on climate events but also on country’s contextual factors, such as geographical, social, cultural, economic, and political conditions, resulting in a substantial heterogeneous effect among Mediterranean countries (Section 5.3.1.1).

Climate and environmental changes interfere with the realization and enjoyment of fundamental, internationally recognized human rights such as life, self-determination, health, and education (Section 5.3.3.2).

Climate change and extreme events influence migration behavior and patterns (medium confidence). The majority of migration associated with climate change impacts is internal (mostly within southern and eastern countries of the Mediterranean Basin), but also international migration (primarily between the South and North). While fast-onset environmental and climatic events such as floods and storms lead to more sudden, involuntary, and short-term and short-distance movements, slow-onset events such as drought, desertification and salinity by allowing for adaptation, usually tend to result in immobility or in migration that is generally perceived as being voluntary and often predominantly economically motivated. Migrants themselves may be vulnerable to climate change impacts in destination areas, particularly in urban centers (Section 5.3.2.3).

Climate change could lead to conflict (low confidence). Climatic changes have likely played a role in the decline or collapse of ancient civilizations around the Mediterranean Basin. The evidence on the effect of climate change and variability on violent conflict is contested. Although there is little agreement about a direct relationship, there is evidence that climate change increases the risk of violent conflict indirectly through declining human well-being, especially in countries which are poor and are characterized by pre-existing tensions and conflict. Higher food prices caused by climate changes have led to urban social unrest in MENA countries. The relationship between climate, migration, and conflict is highly complex as it depends on the social, political, cultural, and economic conditions of a specific country (Section 5.3.2.4).

Climate change poses a severe threat to many UNESCO World Heritage sites (high confidence). A large number of cultural sites are located in low-lying coastal areas of the Mediterranean, which are predicted to experience severe floods and coastal erosion in the future, indicating an urgent need for protective measures (Section 5.3.3.1).

Climate change is likely to act as a threat multiplier in the MENA region (low confidence). Establishing a strong link between future climate change and intrastate conflicts in MENA countries is challenging due to the lack of research for this region, which makes future research essential. Climate change is likely to affect inter-state relations concerning shared water resources, if existing water institutions are not able to accommodate change (Section 5.3.3.2).

Culture is a key factor for successful adaptation policies to environmental change in the highly diverse multicultural setting of the Mediterranean Basin. Climate adaptation policies have the potential to infringe on human rights in the Mediterranean region if they are disconnected from justice, equity, poverty alleviation, social inclusion, and redistribution. To successfully implement adaptation policies, many lower-income and climate-vulnerable Mediterranean countries need sufficient financial resources and science and technology transfers (Section 5.3.4.1).
5.3.1 Concepts and evidence

5.3.1.1 Definition and scope of human security in this report

This chapter assesses what is known about the risks climate change poses to individuals and communities, including risks to livelihoods, culture, human rights, migration and political stability in the Mediterranean region. For this assessment, human security is considered a condition that exists when the vital core of human lives is protected, and where people have the freedom and capacity to live with dignity (IPCC 2014, AR5 Chapter 12:759). Human security encompasses universal (e.g., health and food), and culturally-specific (e.g., religion), material (e.g., clean water), and non-material (e.g., social recognition) elements necessary for survival, sustainable livelihoods, and dignity. Human rights, politically and legally legitimized by the international community, are a specific means of defining limits, benchmarks and social processes that provide human security.

Much research on human security focuses on various short-term threats to the vital core of people’s lives, including economic crises, epidemics, extreme events, and violent conflict. There are also social and environmental threats that are more incremental in nature, for example slow economic development or land degradation. In addition, a part of existing research is biased because it disregards threats to human security, which are more pertinent to other social contexts, or tries to advance generalizable findings, which are context-specific (i.e., relevant research done either in northern or southern Mediterranean States).

This chapter specifically assesses research that investigates the ways in which climate change may exacerbate threats such as water security (Chapter 3.1), food security (Chapter 3.2), health (Chapter 5.2) and others. There are underlying processes that reduce the freedom and capacity of individuals and groups to adequately respond to these threats, including poor health, poverty, and restricted access to economic, social, political, and natural resources. The chapter also assesses research on the interaction between state security and human security that suggests that the increased human insecurity that arises from an inability to adapt to climate change may in turn create risks to national security through large-scale migration and an increased risk of violent conflicts. It also assesses countries’ role in protecting human security in the presence of climate change.

Human security is an analytical lens that focuses attention on the ways in which cultural, demographic, economic, and political forces interact with climate change in ways that affect individuals and communities to different degrees. The focus is at the local level, but the analysis concerns drivers of change across multiple scales and sectors, including climate, culture, economic and political institutions, and population. Consequently, understanding the effects of climate change on human security requires evidence about social and environmental processes across multiple scales and sectors. This process-based evidence is collected through a wide array of methods (e.g., ethnographic techniques and large datasets) used in a wide range of academic disciplines including environmental sciences, economics, political science, and law.

This chapter includes assessment of empirical studies from the social sciences using both qualitative and quantitative research designs. Most of these studies examine the interactions between environmental changes and social processes to explain social outcomes. While very few studies are explicitly about climate change and human security (since they mostly focus on climate variability and extreme weather events), all provide insights that could be used to make inferences about the effects of climate change on human security (Koubi 2019). Given the complexity of the processes that link environmental change to human security, uncertainties about the biophysical dimensions of change and the nature of the social science evidence thus far, high-confidence statements about the general effects of environmental change on all aspects of human security are not possible (Scheffran et al. 2012). Yet, there is strong evidence about some aspects of the links between environmental change and human security. While the impacts of environmental change on human security will be experienced the most in developing countries (including many MENA countries), human security is at risk for vulnerable populations throughout the Mediterranean region (IPCC 2014, 2018a).
5.3.2 Past trends and current situation

5.3.2.1 Livelihood, culture, human rights

Although anthropogenic climate change may be new, significant local and regional variations in climate have occurred throughout history. Prehistoric modern humans had experienced repeated periods of abrupt and severe climate change, albeit at a slower pace than presently, which was often global in nature, and they responded and adapted to this change with varying degrees of success and a variety of different outcomes (Heyd and Brooks 2009). Many studies have shown that climate change threatens cultural dimensions of lives and livelihoods including the material and psychological aspects of culture, identity, community cohesion and sense of place (e.g., Hess et al. 2008; Brace and Geoghegan 2011; Adger et al. 2012). Since culture, differing widely between countries around the Mediterranean, is embedded in the dominant modes of production, consumption, lifestyles and social organization that give rise to emissions of greenhouse gases, the impacts of these emissions are often given meaning through cultural interpretations of science and risk (Douglas and Wildavsky 1982; Shove 2003; Hulme 2008).

Culture plays an important role in mediating human responses to environmental change and these responses depend heavily on the extent to which societies see themselves as separate from, or as part of the wider physical or ‘natural’ environment (Heyd and Brooks 2009). The cultural dimensions of climate change risks and responses play a role in framing environmental change as a phenomenon of concern to society and they are not less important because they might inform adaptation planning (Adger et al. 2012). Countries require a diversity of adaptation measures very much depending on individual circumstances (UNFCCC 2007). In this perspective, Heyd and Brooks (2009) analyzed the influence of culture on conceptions of, and behavior towards natural systems and processes in a non-western context, and compare this example with the mainstream of western societies (this comparison may be relevant to the Mediterranean context, especially regarding its southern and northern shores). They conclude that culture may serve as a resource in two ways, in relation to the ‘management’ of the non-human sphere and in relation to the development of governance processes, and that a deeper understanding of the cultural mediation of responses to environmental dynamism may be of significant value in the development of resilience to accelerating climate change.

For Richardson et al. (2009), no environmental policy will receive the support it needs, either formally in the political arena or at the pragmatic day-to-day level, unless cultures, values and world perspectives are considered from the outset – this also applies to the Mediterranean context. There are two reasons for this. First, individuals / societies do not perceive sophisticated science-based information and risk assessments in the same way, as those who produce them. Secondly, in order to be effective, policies need to consider the socio-culturally shaped setting that pre-dates the attempt to implement the policies. The following points underscore the significance of this main finding: information about climate change and local interpretations of risk assessments are culturally mediated through particular emotional ways of reasoning, typical meaning-making processes, specific conceptions of landscape and climate variability and change, and idiosyncratic notions of mitigation of risk. Local religious and spiritual beliefs, knowledge systems, understanding of nature-society relationships, and values and ethics influence how individuals and communities perceive and respond to climate change; and the implementation of adaptation strategies can raise issues that cut across power relations in existing situations of inequality, which may have unforeseen long-term effects for individuals and communities. Therefore, research on the role of culture, values, and worldviews in both the generation of and responses to climate change should become a top priority (Richardson et al. 2009).

Most regions in the Mediterranean Basin (and the rest the world) have undergone environmental change since their first occupation by humans, and understanding how people who settled in these places chose to cope and/or adapt (or failed to adapt) may play a vital role in sustainable planning of our modern societies (Latorre et al. 2016). By affecting the availability of food and water to people, environmental and climate drivers are key factors for understanding this process. It is, however, an
oversimplification to state that these drivers are directly responsible for livelihood and cultural changes. Although there are many examples in the literature of large civilizations that suffered some degree of collapse, to attribute these social changes solely on shifting climatic conditions disregards other complex processes associated with how human societies make decisions regarding resource constraints. Lattore et al. (2016) assert that the effects of climate change on past societies cannot be understated and there are often multiple feedbacks. Case by case comparative studies can bring out commonalities across livelihoods and cultures, varying geographies and global climate shifts.

5.3.2.2 Human rights

Climate change affects the rights of individuals and communities. The IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels (IPCC 2018a) recently assessed that climate change constitutes a massive threat to the enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights. Following the release of this report, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights adopted a statement on “Climate change and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights” in which it welcomed the voluntary national commitments made under the climate change regime, and also emphasized that States have human rights obligations that should guide them in the design and implementation of measures to address climate change (CESCR 2018).

The current scientific and policy debate on links between environment and human rights increasingly perceives climate change as a ‘risk multiplier’ and a key cross-cutting issue. Climate and environmental change thereby are seen as one of the greatest challenges to the promotion of and effective implementation of fundamental rights since its human implications are already serious and alarming. Climate change is thus rapidly becoming an issue of justice and inequality for millions of people around the world and for future generations, which will suffer severe loss and damage as well. The international community’s past failure – and insufficient current actions – to mitigate and adapt to climate change according to available scientific evidence further threaten human rights (CIEL and CARE 2015). More specifically, the rights of vulnerable peoples, who are already experiencing the adverse effects of climate change, are more threatened than ever (CIEL 2013).

Recent studies and assessments have demonstrated that climate change is putting development and human security at risk (IPCC 2007, 2013, 2014) and the United Nations Human Rights Council has acknowledged in many statements (i.e., UNHRC 2008) that the environmental changes brought about by global warming can interfere with the realization and enjoyment of fundamental, internationally recognized human rights – including those protected by the International Covenants on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR).

Many Human Rights Judicial bodies, both at the universal and regional level, have underlined the link between environment and human rights effectiveness, and State-related obligations in different cases brought before them. For example, the European Court of Human Rights (the ECtHR) has developed its jurisprudence related to human rights and environment in recent years. The ECtHR emphasized on several occasions the impact of a “severe degradation of environment” on the breach of the right to life. Environmental degradation is thus increasingly recognized to threaten the human rights of individuals and minority groups. Therefore, states have the duty to respect, promote, protect, and fulfill human rights, and to secure a healthy environment by adopting positive actions to prevent environmental degradation, in order to guarantee a sustainable environment.

The ‘right to the highest attainable standard of health’ is considered indispensable for the enjoyment of other human rights, and it is widely protected in international and regional instruments, and under national constitutions as well (Elia 2016). Climate change is a serious challenge that undermines and places the fundamental determinants of good health (such as clean air, fresh water, food security, and freedom from disease) at risk (health, Chapter 5.2). In the Mediterranean Basin, health impacts on populations vary significantly in terms of the risks individual countries are exposed to, available health services and socio-economic status. The most severe problems are likely to be faced by those countries that already have the biggest problems today – notably those in North Africa and the near East. Climate
change could further increase the divide between northern and southern Mediterranean countries. Addressing environmental change is, therefore, perceived as a huge health opportunity that includes reducing the over 6.5 million annual deaths (WHO 2016) from air pollution (most victims are poor, elderly, women and children including rural households and people living in burgeoning low-income cities) (Sections 2.3 and 5.2.4.1).

Table 5.4 | Climate change impacts on selected human rights (source: submission by the Maldives to the Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) in September 2008, as part of OHCHR’s consultative study on the relationship between climate change and human rights, reproduced in CIEL (2013)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate change</th>
<th>Impact on people</th>
<th>Rights implicated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sea Level Rise</strong></td>
<td>Loss of land, Drowning, injury, Lack of clean water, disease, Damage to coastal infrastructure, homes, and property, Loss of agricultural lands, Threat to tourism, beach loss, Quality of agricultural food products, Fishing and marine life that may affect the quality and quantities of sea foods</td>
<td>Self-determination (ICCPR; ICESCR, 1), Life (ICCPR, 6), Health (ICESCR, 12), Water (CEDAW, 14; ICRC, 24), Means of subsistence (ICESCR, 1), Adequate standard of living (ICESCR, 12), Adequate housing (ICESCR, 12), Culture (ICCPR, 27), Property (UDHR, 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature Increase</strong></td>
<td>Spread of disease, Changes in traditional fishing livelihoods and commercial fishing, Threat to tourism, lost coral and fish diversity</td>
<td>Life (ICCPR, 6), Health (ICESCR, 12), Means of subsistence (ICESCR, 1), Adequate standard of living (ICESCR, 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extreme Weather Events</strong></td>
<td>Displacement of populations, Contamination of water supply, Damage to infrastructure: delays in medical treatment, food crisis, Psychological distress, Increased transmission of disease, Damage to agricultural lands, Disruption in educational services, Damage to tourism sector, Massive property damage</td>
<td>Life (ICCPR, 6), Health (ICESCR, 12), Water (CEDAW, 14; ICRC, 24), Means of subsistence (ICESCR, 1), Adequate standard of living (ICESCR, 12), Adequate and secure housing (ICESCR, 12), Education (ICESCR, 14), Property (UDHR, 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Precipitation Changes</strong></td>
<td>Outbreak of disease, Depletion of agricultural soils</td>
<td>Life (ICCPR, 6), Health (ICESCR, 12), Means of subsistence (ICESCR, 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Direct climate impacts, such as extreme weather events (e.g., floods) and rising sea levels, threaten millions of people in coastal and low-lying areas of the Mediterranean Basin and elsewhere. Seawater intrusion contaminates groundwater in coastal communities, negatively affecting agricultural production and potable water availability. Ocean acidification and changes in weather patterns alter ecosystems and their capacity to provide services to human communities. Increasing weather extremes constrain food security and access to nutritious forms of food while changing the prices of commodities in global markets, making food more expensive and harder to access for the poorest people (Table
5.4). The links between environmental change and human rights are being increasingly documented (Table 5.4), and therefore becoming less controversial and seem beyond dispute (Behnassi et al. 2019).

5.3.2.3 Environmental change and migration

Migration has been a defining feature of human populations in the Mediterranean for millennia, well before the emergence of nation states. Environmental changes, both in their rapid and slow-onset versions, have likely been influential to some extent in these migration flows. The question of a direct link between migration and environmental factors has therefore been debated intensely (de Haas 2011; Boas et al. 2019). Nevertheless, the empirical evidence from a large body of recent research, which employs macro-level and micro-level data as well as a diverse range of approaches, shows that, one the one hand, sudden-onset climatic events such as floods and storms lead to more sudden, involuntary, and short-term and short-distance movements (McLeman and Gemenne 2018). Empirical knowledge regarding the effects of slow-onset events such as droughts, on the other hand, remains varied (Adger et al. 2015; Hunter et al. 2015; IPCC 2018a). That is, there is no conclusive evidence on the direction and magnitude of the influence of gradual events on migration and their effects are multidimensional and heterogeneous. Still, it seems that slow-onset events usually tend to result either in migration that is generally perceived as voluntary and often predominantly economically-motivated or in immobility (Hunter et al. 2015; Koubi et al. 2016; Cattaneo et al. 2019).

On a century time-scale, the reduced dependence of Mediterranean people on subsistence agriculture during the 20th century has likely reduced possible direct causalities between environmental change and migration. This shift has been uneven both geographically along North-South and East-West axes of the Mediterranean and has been experienced at different times. Thus in a region whose migration patterns are marked with high uncertainty, environmental factors may “play a certain but rather indirect role” together with demographic, economic and political factors (de Haas 2011). While the effects of environmental and climate change are only “beginning to shape a new and more urgent need for the human security paradigm” (Behnassi and McGlade 2017), evidence about the relationship between climate change and migration in the Mediterranean is often disputed. The region is often referred to as being among the regions whose human security is most threatened by climate change (Brauch 2012).

The bulk of migration in Northern Africa (White 2011) and the eastern Mediterranean (Weinthal et al. 2015) happens locally and regionally. The effect of climatic factors on migration is, however, contentious. For instance, while some authors argue that the 2006 to 2010 drought in northeastern Syria led a large number of individuals to migrate (Kelley et al. 2015; Ash and Obradovich 2020), others take issue with both the number of migrants and the extent to which climate factors affected migration (Selby et al. 2017). Thus, “whether the drought was a relevant cause of rural livelihood loss and whether this livelihood loss facilitated a significant migration to urban areas remains contested, although the majority of studies support these claims” (Ide 2018a). Other studies on the case of Sahelian migration to Italy between 1995 and 2009, offer explanations for variance by climate factors and yields in migration data, where average annual temperatures are suggested to be the dominant factor in explaining migrations (Pasini and Amendola 2019). A recent global study suggests that climate change will not likely impact asylum seeking patterns everywhere, but probably only in countries undergoing political transformation when faced with immediate impacts of climate change due to inefficient policy response to the latter (Abel et al. 2019). Some authors also suggest that migration in the Mediterranean may be towards higher environmental risk rather than away from it due to pressing challenges of rapid urbanization, depopulation of rural areas, and the decline of traditional livelihoods (Geddes 2015). The challenge, therefore, is to better prepare and adapt infrastructure to sustain and protect migrants in their destinations.

A part of this framing can likely be credited to the work of NGOs and think tanks (Felli 2013). The eastern and southern shores of the Mediterranean Basin (MENA) in particular are frequently “cast as hotspots of mixing social, political and ecological problems, populated by racialized, helpless and
passive victims, exposed to erratic and dangerous climate change” (Methmann and Rothe 2014). Rothe (2017) details how the Mediterranean came to be seen as the hotspot of climate migration with “interventions coming mainly from either international organizations or scientists and think tanks in northern industrialized countries.”

5.3.2.4 Conflict and collapse of civilizations

There is a recent increase in research examining the effects of climate change and violent conflict, reflecting policy discourses that climate change impacts and resource scarcity could lead to conflict. While changes in climate could bring groups of people into conflict over scarce resources (Homer-Dixon 1999), there is also evidence that in specific circumstances resource scarcity may drive adaptation and innovation (Butzer 2012). While, on a decadal time-scale, violent conflict appears to have become less common and less intense after the end of the Cold War, since 2013, there have been upsurges in both the number of armed conflicts in the Mediterranean region, and also in the number of battle deaths - mainly as a result of the civil wars in Syria and Iraq (Dupuy et al. 2017). During the same period, there has been an increase in the occurrence and severity of drought events (Chapter 2), which by affecting agricultural production and crop yields (Chapter 3) (Durigon and de Jong van Lier 2013; Siebert et al. 2014; Schaubberger et al. 2017), raise the question whether these factors could contribute to social unrest and conflict (discussed in Box 5.3.1).

A few studies explore the relationship between longer-term climate variations and the collapse of past civilizations and empires around the Mediterranean Basin using statistical analysis and data derived from archeological and other historical records (Holmgren et al. 2016; Kaniewski et al. 2018). Major changes in weather patterns, in particular drought conditions, have coincided with the collapse of several previously powerful civilizations in the Aegean and Eastern Mediterranean (Kaniewski et al. 2015), as well as of empires such as the Akkadian (Weiss et al. 1993; Carolin et al. 2019), and the Ottoman empires (Kaniewski et al. 2012). Regarding the Akkadian Empire, which ruled Mesopotamia from the headwaters of the Tigris-Euphrates Rivers to the Persian Gulf (all in what is now Iraq, Syria and parts of southern Turkey) during the late third millennium B.C., archeological evidence has shown that this highly developed civilization collapsed abruptly around 4200 years ago, possibly due to a shift to more arid conditions (Weiss et al. 1993). A recent study using speleothem geochemical records from northern Iran identified two major drought periods, which started 4510 and 4260 years ago, and lasted 110 and 290 years respectively. The latter event occurred precisely at the time of the Akkadian Empire’s collapse (Carolin et al. 2019). Similarly, a study coupling climate proxies with archaeological-historical data and a pollen-based record of agriculture shows that an abrupt shift to drier conditions at ca. A.D. 1400 could have contributed to the Ottoman Empire’s decline (Kaniewski et al. 2018).

Several studies also provide evidence for a climate-conflict relationship via reduced agricultural production across many centuries. The Little Ice Age appears to have been associated with more cases of political upheaval and warfare in the Ottoman (White 2011; Haldon et al. 2014) and Byzantine (Xoplaki et al. 2016) empires. In particular, the Gelali rebellions between the years 1550 and 1603 were reactions to social and economic crisis stemming in part from climatic hardship associated with the Little Ice Age. This evidence from historic antecedents, however, cannot be taken to mean that future changes in climate would lead to large-scale political collapse mainly due to globalization in the contemporary world (Butzer 2012).

Most of the recent research on the connections between climate change and conflict focuses on the effects of climate variability, mainly temperature, precipitation, and, to a lesser extent, natural disasters as proxies for the kinds of longer-term changes that might occur due to climate change on interstate conflict, e.g., civil conflict/war, communal violence as well as low intensity conflict such as demonstrations, protests, and riots. There is very limited research on the climate-conflict nexus in the Mediterranean region. Studies using global datasets have failed to uncover a robust direct relationship between climate variability or natural disasters and civil conflict. On the one hand, some studies report a positive effect of temperature on conflict onset or incidence at the global level (e.g., Landis 2014;
Bollfrass and Shaver 2015). In particular, in countries with climates that have strong seasonality such as in most countries in the Mediterranean basin, civil conflict is more likely to occur when warm weather is prolonged (Landis 2014). Deviations in temperature, whether they are positive or negative, as well as changes in mean precipitation show no statistical association with the onset of civil war. On the other hand, others find that precipitation, in particular more rainfall, rather than temperature anomalies are associated with increases in organized political violence—especially in less developed countries (Salehyan and Hendrix 2014). Yet, other studies do not find any effect.

For the Mediterranean region, Böhmelt et al. (2014) report that climate variability measured as a deviation of the current level of precipitation and temperature, respectively, from their past long-run levels, did not affect the onset of armed conflict during the 1997-2009 period. In addition, the authors show that population pressure, agricultural productivity, and economic development are likely to have a stronger impact on water conflict risk than climate variability (Bernauer et al. 2012; Theisen et al. 2013; Buhaug et al. 2014). They conclude that violent water conflicts are extremely rare, and that factors conducive to restraint, such as stable political conditions, may stimulate cooperation. Higher temperature, though, seems to increase the likelihood of low-level conflicts such as political instability in the form of irregular leader transitions (i.e., coups) (Dell et al. 2012) and incumbents’ electoral losses potentially speeding democratic turnover (Obradovich 2017).

A growing body of research examines the connections between natural disasters, i.e., storms, floods or droughts and conflict. By damaging public and private infrastructure, destroying crops and killing livestock, these events can cause or worsen scarcity, subsequently leading to conflict. The direct association between natural disasters and armed conflict is contested (Nel and Righarts 2008; Bergholt and Lujala 2012). However, there is some evidence that natural disasters increase the outbreak of armed conflict in highly ethnically fractionalized countries (Schleussner et al. 2016), lengthen civil conflict and communal conflict (Eastin 2015; Detges 2016; Ghimire and Ferreira 2016) and increase state-sponsored repression (Wood and Wright 2016) and the likelihood of transnational terrorism (Paul and Bagchi 2018). Feitelson and Tubi (2017) examine the effect of the extreme 2007–2010 drought on armed conflict in the Euphrates and lower Jordan River basins and find that, with the exception of Syria, and the consequent spillover into Iraq, droughts do not constitute a main driver of armed conflict in the Middle East. They conclude that droughts may lead to conflict when more fundamental factors, especially adaptive capacity, have been compromised. There is also some evidence that widespread disasters generate solidarity and cooperation rather than conflict (Theisen 2012; Nardulli et al. 2015; Tubi and Feitelson 2016).

A limited body of research examines the connections between climate change and small-scale violent conflicts, i.e., non-state conflict or communal violence. There is some agreement that both decreased rainfall (resource scarcity) and increased rainfall (strategic advantage) in resource dependent societies increase the risk of localized violence, particularly in pastoral societies in Africa (Fjelde and von Uexkull 2012; Theisen 2012; Detges 2014; Ember et al. 2014; Maystadt et al. 2015; Nordkvelle et al. 2017). Tubi and Feitelson (2016) examine the conflictive and cooperative interactions between Muslim Bedouin herders and Jewish agricultural settlements in Israel’s semi-arid northern Negev region during the 1957–1963 drought. They find that while the interactions between these two groups ranged from violent clashes to extensive cooperation and assistance, conflict was limited and that the measures taken by state institutions to directly reduce frictions and to provide relief assistance were central to the overall limited level of conflict.

In response to the challenges of finding a direct association between climate changes and violent conflict, most recent research examines the effects of climate changes on conflict via their effects on well-known drivers of conflict, in particular economic conditions and migration. Starting with the economic conditions channel, research has not established a robust link between climate variables, economic growth, and conflict (Miguel et al. 2004; Ciccone 2011; Bergholt and Lujala 2012; Koubi et al. 2012; Hodler and Raschky 2014; van Weezel 2015). However, recent studies provide consistent evidence that climate changes, via their negative effect on agricultural production, livestock prices, and incomes,
affect various types and dynamics of conflict (Bazzi and Blattman 2014; Maystadt and Ecker 2014; Fjelde 2015). Moreover, negative weather shocks occurring during the growing season of local crops increase the continuation and intensity rather than the outbreak of civil conflict (Jun 2017; Harari and Ferrara 2018), especially in regions with agriculturally dependent and politically excluded groups (von Uexkull 2014; Schleussner et al. 2016; von Uexkull et al. 2016). As an example of such shocks, dry spells during the wet season have been found to have serious economic consequences in rain-fed agriculture, which represents a significant fraction in many Mediterranean countries (> 90% of cultivated regions in Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia, 57% in Turkey, 64% in Italy or 56% in Portugal (Tramblay and Hertig 2018). As an example, Morocco’s 2007 drought reduced wheat production by 76% (Schilling et al. 2012), which has been shown to play a vital socio-economic role in Mediterranean countries (Páscoa et al. 2017).

Several recent studies identify a causal link between higher food prices caused by climate changes and urban social unrest in Africa (Berazneva and Lee 2013; Smith 2014; Bellemare 2015; Raleigh et al. 2015), while Buhaug et al. (2015) disagree. Rising food prices are considered to have played a significant role in fomenting the Arab Spring unrest across North Africa and the Middle East in 2011 (Johnstone and Mazo 2011; Sternberg 2012; Newman 2020). However, Sneyd et al. (2013) show that such forms of violence are triggered by a complex set of political and economic factors rather than by higher food prices.

By reducing the supply of water in transboundary river basins, climate events can increase the likelihood of interstate conflict. Despite the menace of ‘water wars’ prominently discussed since the early 1990’s, shared water, although it does lead to tensions, threats, and even to some localized violence, it does not lead to war (Wolf 2007). Joint precipitation scarcity reduces the likelihood of interstate militarized disputes (Devlin and Hendrix 2014), and water scarcity enhances the incentives of riparian states to cooperate rather than to fight (Dinar et al. 2011). In addition, research shows that riparian states’ liberal institutional and economic structures (Kalbenn 2011; Feitelson and Tubi 2017), commercial treaties (Dinar et al. 2015), the behavior of upper riparian (Feitelson and Tubi 2017) and upstream-downstream relations (Munia et al. 2016), the existence of transboundary treaties (Tir and Stinnett 2012), the number of agreements between riparian states (Dinar et al. 2019), the specific design of international water agreements (Dinar et al. 2015) and institutional frameworks for flexible but specific water allocation mechanisms (Dinar et al. 2015; Oktav 2017) further mitigate the risk of conflict. While hydrological disputes in the Jordan, Nile, and Tigris-Euphrates river basins are mostly seen as a part of territorial security and development-oriented concerns rather than a genuine water issue on its own, climate change is likely to further affect inter-state relations and even threaten the stability of existing water institutions, if these institutions are not able to accommodate change (Dinar et al. 2015; de Stefano et al. 2017).

Regarding the channel of migration, the literature argues that environmental migration can cause conflict in the receiving areas by increasing competition for resources and jobs between immigrants and the native population, upsetting ethnic balance when immigrants are of a different ethnicity than the native population; and by exacerbating fault lines, such as between highly employed and unemployed segments of society, rural and urban areas, etc. (Goldstone 2002; Reuveny 2007). Recent research shows that although residents in receiving areas, in particular urban centers in developing countries, view climate events (e.g., floods and storms) and conditions (e.g., drought and water/soil salinity) as legitimate reasons to migrate, yet environmental migrants are not seen as more deserving than economic migrants (Spilker et al. 2020).

However, despite the surge in the number of studies on the potential link between environmental change, migration, and conflict, this literature is still far from reaching a consensus on this relationship. For example, while some scholars provide evidence that mass population movements induced by climate shocks were an important factor leading to Syria’s uprising and subsequent civil war (Kelley et al. 2015; Ash and Obradovich 2020), others conclude that the occurring drought had little if any impact on the outbreak of conflict (Fröhlich 2016; Selby et al. 2017) (see Box 5.3.1). Moreover, limited and
often ambiguous empirical evidence based on large-N studies further adds to the difficulty to derive conclusive statements (e.g., Brzoska and Fröhlich 2016). Reuveny (2007), for instance, shows that migration caused by extreme events can induce more conflict in receiving communities, while Raleigh et al. (2008) do not find a significant effect. Bhavnani and Lacina (2015) find that greater rates of internal migration due to climate shocks are associated with a higher risk of riots in Indian states. Ghimire and Ferreira (2016), however, report that disaster-induced migration lengthens the duration of an existing civil conflict, but it does not affect the risk of new conflict outbreaks. Climate changes have also been shown to increase intercommunal violence by affecting pastoralists’ coping strategies for access to water and foliage (Adano et al. 2012; Detges 2014). Finally, while long-term climate events, such as droughts, seem to enhance environmental migrants’ conflict perceptions in their destination location (Koubi et al. 2018), they may not add to their willingness to support violence (Linke et al. 2018). Migrants who experienced short-term climate events, such as storms or heavy rains, on the other hand, are more likely to support violence if they were themselves victims of violence (Linke et al. 2018). Moreover, migrants to urban areas who had experienced both sudden and gradual climate events, e.g., a drought and a storm, at their location of origin are more likely to join and participate in social movements about migrant rights such as joining a migrant interest group and participating in protest rallies organized by the group even if these may cause violent clashes (Koubi et al. 2021).

Beyond economic and ethno-political conditions, as well as migration flows, the state of social and political institutions is often found to shape the likelihood of collective violence. Various aspects of the strength and, more often, weaknesses of governments but also conflict-related institutions have been repeatedly demonstrated in recent research (Butler and Gates 2012; Koubi et al. 2012; Böhmelt et al. 2014; O’Loughlin et al. 2014; Linke et al. 2015, 2018; Tubi and Feitelson 2016; Wood and Wright 2016; Detges 2016; Fair et al. 2017; Jones et al. 2017; Ide 2018b).

In summary, there is some evidence that climate change may increase the risk of armed conflict in countries and/or regions, which are poor and highly dependent on agriculture, have few capabilities to cope with climate changes, and are characterized by pre-existing tensions and conflict (Ide et al. 2014; Koubi 2019). However, there is very limited knowledge regarding the mechanisms that connect climate change to conflict (Buhaug 2015; Koubi 2019). There is also very little research on the effects of climate changes on conflict in the Mediterranean region. Consequently, there is an urgent need for research and data collection that can be used to study the processes that could lead from changes in climate to conflict in the Mediterranean Basin.

### 5.3.3 Projections, vulnerabilities and risks

#### 5.3.3.1 Livelihoods, culture, human rights

Countries with high carbon emission potential directly and massively contribute to global warming, which is associated with substantial harms to poor and vulnerable populations, including indigenous people, through their multiple impacts and associated risks (i.e., increasing frequent extreme-weather events, spread of tropical diseases, desertification, rising sea levels, biodiversity loss, decrease of crop yield and food security, etc.) (Jodoin and Lofts 2013; IPCC 2018a). Poor people are much more vulnerable to these impacts and risks because they tend to live in the most exposed areas, typically cannot protect themselves, and lack the means to cope once a threat has materialized (Hallegatte et al. 2016; Hallegatte and Rozenberg 2017; IPCC 2018a; Wallemacq et al. 2018). Assuming that the global distribution of income and wealth will remain as uneven as today, present excess emissions are likely to cause vastly greater harms to poor and vulnerable populations in the future, than they are causing today (Burke et al. 2018; IPCC 2018a; Pretis et al. 2018; Tol 2018). In addition, climate change impacts threaten traditional knowledge about livelihoods in ways that endanger culture and sense of place attachment (Adger et al. 2012; Tucker et al. 2015; Tschakert et al. 2019). Climate change could increase migration flows. While it is challenging to project the scale of future migration flows as complex interactions between economic, political, social, demographic, and environmental factors shape people’s movements (Black et al. 2011), experts agree that millions of people, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa,
South Asia, and Latin America, could be forced to move within their countries in the medium term due to climate changes (Rigaud et al. 2018). The scale and geographic scope of this type of population displacement could be one of the greatest human rights challenges of our time. Most countries do not have any governance framework to manage the internal movement of people living within their boundaries and no binding international human rights instrument exists to guide national governments to prepare and respond, creating an enormous protection gap for hundreds of millions of people (McAdam 2016).

Climate change also poses a severe threat to many cultural heritage sites (IUCN 2017). Mediterranean UNESCO World Heritage sites are highly likely to be impacted by climate change over the coming decades, notably from coastal hazards due to sea-level rise. A basin-wide study investigated risks for coastal world Heritage Sites from flooding and erosion under four sea level rise scenarios until 2100. Of 49 sites located in low-lying coastal areas of the Mediterranean, 37 are at risk from a 100-year flood and 42 from coastal erosion, already today (Reimann et al. 2018). The results indicate the urgent need to better protect those sites that are already at risk. Risks will exacerbate in the course of the twenty-first century and beyond (until 2100, flood risk may increase by 50% and erosion risk by 13% across the Mediterranean region), with their magnitude depending on global-scale mitigation efforts in the coming years. For these sites, adaptation can only be implemented to a limited degree because their specific cultural values could be compromised by adaptation measures. If no steps are taken, world heritage sites could lose their values during the coming centuries and will consequently be removed from the UNESCO World Heritage list.

5.3.3.2 Conflict

The Mediterranean Basin is characterized by projections of extreme heat, drought and aridity conditions under climate change (Chapter 2). The IPCC report on 1.5°C has stated that ‘climate-related risks to livelihoods including food security, water supply and economic growth are projected to increase with global warming of 1.5°C and increase further with 2°C (Summary to Policymakers: B5) (IPCC 2018b).

In this context, climate change is likely to act as a threat multiplier (CNA 2007) in the MENA region by placing additional pressure on already scarce resources and by reinforcing preexisting threats such as poverty, unemployment, and political instability as well as competition over shared water resources along river basins, ultimately leading to violence and conflict. However, establishing a link between future climate change and conflicts in MENA countries is challenging due to the lack of research and consequently scientific knowledge regarding the connections between climate change and conflict in this region. Nevertheless, considering that although the whole region is subjected to climate change but very few countries are experiencing (have experienced) conflict, a few of the main findings of the climate-conflict literature might as well apply here: climate change is very likely to lead to conflict in MENA countries that are poor and are characterized by pre-existing tensions and conflict (Ide et al. 2014; von Uexkull et al. 2016; Waha et al. 2017; Koubi 2019).

Civil conflict is “development in reverse” (Collier et al. 2003). Armed conflict kills people, destroys infrastructure that affects development outcomes, and hampers economic growth that lifts people from poverty, thus reducing the incentives to fight (Chassang 2009; Cederman et al. 2013). Gates et al. (2012) estimate the effect of conflict on income growth. According to their simulations, five consecutive conflict years with more than 1000 battle deaths reduce per capita incomes by 20% relative to a no-conflict counterfactual, whereas eight additional years of further conflict widen the gap by 5-10%. When it comes to assessing the speed and extent of post-conflict recovery, the analyses in Gates et al. (2012) reveal that the negative impact of short wars is reversed after roughly five peaceful years, whereas long wars are associated with a permanent reduction in per capita incomes of about 10%. Similarly, Costalli et al. (2017) employ synthetic control modelling for 20 conflict countries. On average, years of ongoing conflict see 17.5% lower per capita incomes than carefully constructed counterfactual peace years. Their analysis also reveals massive heterogeneity across conflicts, with estimates ranging
from a 1.8% average effect in Egypt (1993-1998) to a staggering 74% for the Liberian civil war (1989-1997 and 1999-2003). Their analysis also shows that the GDP losses due to conflict are especially high in ethnically fractionalized countries and attribute this effect to disruptions to inter-ethnic cooperation and trade.

Conflict does not only undermine the capacity of governments and non-governmental actors to provide adequate protection from the impacts of climate change, but it is also a major driver of climate vulnerability via its negative effects on economic growth, education, food security and environmental destruction. Broader socio-economic development, expressed by higher growth in education and poverty alleviation, could help in offsetting most of the conflict risk in developing countries associated with reduced economic growth due to implementation of policies to curb GHG emissions (Hegre et al. 2016). Ending violent conflict may be one of the most efficient and cost-effective ways to improve social resilience to climate change.

Regarding future interstate conflicts in the MENA region’s major river basins, i.e., Nile, Jordan, Tigris and Euphrates, the limited historic evidence for ‘water wars’ should not lead to complacency. These river basins will face a strong increase in demand for water due to demographic pressures, industrialization, and urbanization. Simultaneously, while in many cases supply will recede due not only to earlier mismanagement, but also to the impacts of climate change through, for instance, changes in precipitation, increased evaporation, shifts in the seasonality of rain, or droughts. These changes could have security implications at the international level. Consequently, it will take coordinated efforts by all, and especially the major riparian states to adapt to climate change in order to avoid increasing conflict in the future. To the extent that each country securitizes water –i.e., transforms water into a key aspect of its national security and development whose protection justifies the use of particular means such as dams, without taking into considerations the needs of its neighbors and especially downstream states, conflict could be more likely under future climate changes (Feitelson and Tubi 2017). International water agreements and more institutionalized forms of cooperation therefore need to be flexible and robust enough to cope with the emerging threats of climate change (Dinar et al. 2015; Link et al. 2016; de Stefano et al. 2017). There is very little research on the effects of future climate changes on conflict in the Mediterranean region. Consequently, there is an urgent need for research and data collection that can be used to forecast conflict in the face of climate change in the Mediterranean Basin.

5.3.4 Adaptation

5.3.4.1 Livelihoods, culture, human rights

Climate change acts directly to change natural weather patterns, but the effects cascade quickly through many sectors with implications for livelihoods (i.e., loss of revenues and subsistence assets), culture (cultural ties to the land, biodiversity and food patterns), and human rights (i.e., rights to food, water, health, etc.). For this reason, governments have a large stake in making adaptation a national priority (Tolba and Saab 2009), and this applies to the Mediterranean as well given the severity of climate impacts in this region, which is increasingly considered as hot spot in many IPCC reports (IPCC 2007, 2014, 2018a). In addition to prevention and mitigation, adaptation is increasingly becoming the focus of formal and informal discussions on human responses to climate change both on the international level and in the Mediterranean.

The effectiveness of different ways to address adaptation to climate change is dependent on the underlying cultural fabric of the human groups involved for their successful implementation (Heyd and Brooks 2009). There are important cultural dimensions to how societies respond and adapt to climate-related risks since culture mediates changes in the environment and changes in societies. Culture is no less central to understanding and implementing adaptation; the identification of risks, decisions about responses, and means of implementation are all mediated by culture. Cultures are dynamic and reflexive and are therefore in turn shaped by the idea of climate change. Hence culture, and its analysis, is central to understanding the causes and meaning of, and human responses to climate change (Douglas
Heyd and Brooks (2009) define culture as comprising the ways of living, which involve values, beliefs, practices and material artefacts that condition the production of tangible as well as intangible goods and services needed for the satisfaction of a human group’s needs and wants. The culture of any group has to be conceived of as dynamic, subject to constant transformation and in regular interaction with that of other groups, especially given the interrelationships of human populations in today’s increasingly globalized context. Moreover, any set of values, beliefs or practices common to a human group is mediated by power relations, and is not simply the result of adaptation to objective conditions of the natural environment. Nevertheless, particular cultural patterns are among the factors that distinguish human groups, and may play a crucial role in the ability of these groups to cope with environmental/climate changes. In line with this perspective, Rull et al. (2016) tried to explain in a case study the concurrence of conspicuous climatic, ecological and cultural changes during the last millennia and how natural and anthropogenic drivers of change, as well as their potential synergies, might have been influential in determining some cultural shifts.

Climate adaptation policies have the potential to infringe on human rights in the Mediterranean region if their conception and implementation are not adequate or disconnected from some concerns such as justice, equity, poverty alleviation, social inclusion, and redistribution (Behnassi 2019). For instance, adaptation actions, such as relocation in response to sea-level rise or other environmental factors, may affect the right to culture, particularly for indigenous peoples, local communities and other vulnerable groups. Undeniably, relocation can have a particular impact on the right to culture of indigenous peoples whose cultural and spiritual practices are tied to the land, or for local communities who might lose access to significant sites such as ancestral burial grounds (Jodoin and Lofts 2013). Adaptation policies in the Mediterranean may have human rights implications, such as those pertaining to food, water, forest, and the availability of other resources to support the adaptation needs of vulnerable populations.

Governments’ legal duty under universally recognized, international human rights instruments to protect people from harm, implies the mainstreaming of human rights into adaptation policy and governance (Behnassi 2019). States must therefore ensure that appropriate adaptation measures are taken to protect and fulfill the rights of all persons, particularly those most threatened by negative climate impacts (e.g., individuals and communities living in vulnerable areas of the Mediterranean such as small islands, riparian and low-lying coastal zones, and arid regions). In the context of adaptation, the protection of cultural rights requires that states avoid or minimize policies that could affect these rights. To this end, the protection of cultural rights is increasingly considered as part of adaptation – and even mitigation – policies, and appropriate scoping and risk assessment activities should be undertaken during the process.

To implement adaptation policies, Mediterranean countries need sufficient resources. Lower-income and climate-vulnerable countries, especially on the south shore of the Mediterranean, which are characterized by limited adaptive capacity due to poverty and political instability (Price 2017), are not generally in a financial position to efficiently deal with climate change and fully protect their populations from its adverse impacts. Their limited public budgets are usually dedicated to cover other vital sectors, such as infrastructure, health, nutrition, and education. Any attempt to allocate available resources to fund adaptation policies may negatively affect these sectors. The development and application of financial safeguards can prevent social and environmental harm and maximize participation, transparency, accountability, equity, and rights protection.

Although the UNFCCC established the Green Climate Fund (GCF), from which many Mediterranean countries are already benefiting to support their adaptation policies (Patel et al. 2016), mechanisms to
ensure social and environmental safeguards are yet to be applied to the fund. To do so, institutions involved in funding climate-related activities are required to provide transparent processes, maintain policies and procedures that respect internationally recognized rights, and allow meaningful opportunities for public participation.

Many lower-income and climate-vulnerable Mediterranean countries lack the scientific and technological capacities to deal appropriately and efficiently with environmental change. Thus, science and technology transfer is increasingly considered critical to supporting sustainability and avoiding the shifting of polluting industries from developed countries to the developing world (CIEL 2008), including from northern Mediterranean countries to the southern ones. Establishing an institutional mechanism for science and technology transfer could help to implement a future climate framework in the region. In terms of effective implementation of adaptation strategies, the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) framework can help ensure that scientific inputs required by the most vulnerable peoples and communities are systematically considered a priority (Behnassi et al. 2019).

5.3.5 Knowledge gaps

The evidence reviewed in this chapter shows that climate change poses risks to various dimensions of human security in the Mediterranean region, which arise through diverse causal processes, and which will manifest at different scales. However, many knowledge gaps remain.

The effects of climate change on migration are contingent upon the vulnerability of individuals and societies to such events. In turn, vulnerability is based on physical risk, political, economic and social characteristics, and individual factors such as gender, age, education, wealth, and social capital. In addition, government strategies designed to address vulnerability and to increase resilience can provide the basis for successful adaptation to climate change. Consequently, more widespread and rigorous research is needed in the following areas:

- The conditioning effect of socio-economic and political factors at different levels. Local contextual factors might even be more important in conditioning migration as vulnerability differs significantly across climate-affected communities/areas.
- Micro-level analysis aiming at identifying the climate and non-climate-related determinants of individual migration decisions.
- The compound effects of both slow-onset climate change and sudden-onset extreme events on migration.
- Collecting better data on migration and natural disasters as well as using better modelling techniques to predict future migration flows.
- The implications of migration on vulnerability, especially in the case of rural-to-urban migration.

One of the most critical problems faced by Mediterranean populations is violent conflict, which often triggers migration and loss of life. Climate change is likely to act as a “threat multiplier” in the Mediterranean region for these processes. However, our knowledge is limited regarding how natural disasters interact with and/or are conditioned by socio-economic, political, and demographic factors that cause conflict. Future research should examine:

- When and where are climate conditions and other environmental challenges likely to lead to conflict or social unrest?
- How and why is climate change associated with conflict, and how are other environmental change drivers involved?
- How will conflict patterns evolve over time under different scenarios of future anthropogenic climate and environmental change?
Which and how could mitigation and adaptation policies amplify the likelihood of conflict?

**Box. 5.3.1 Climate change and the Syrian conflict**

Climate variability is popularly reported to be a significant cause of the Syrian conflict that began in 2011. Long-term drought and vulnerability of the population to drought led to large-scale internal migration that contributed to the 2011 popular unrest, which spiraled into Syria’s civil war (Gleick 2014; Kelley et al. 2015; Werrell et al. 2015).

Several studies, however, dispute these claims (de Châtel 2014; Kelley et al. 2015; Hendrix 2017; Selby et al. 2017), and argue that the conflict in Syria was not caused by drought but rather was the result of several factors, including water resource degradation, income inequality and increasing poverty, pre-existing socio-economic grievances, long-standing natural resource mismanagement by the government, and the collapse of Syria’s agrarian and rentier model of state—building and development. These studies identify government practices as being far more influential drivers than drought, especially since similar drought conditions did not stimulate conflict in neighboring countries (Hendrix 2017).

Authors of the original study on the Fertile Crescent rebut these claims and insist that overlap of acute vulnerability and the long-term drying trend was compounded with “population growth, poor agricultural policies, aggressive economic liberalization and the influx of Iraqi refuges” to create the suggested link between climate change, drought, displacement and unrest (Kelley et al. 2017). However, other critics suggest that the driving mechanisms between weather-related risks, the resource base and the subsequent risks through which climate-conflict-migration interaction occurs, as well as the evidence for exacerbation of displacement due to climate factors are rather poor (Challinor et al. 2018). In his review of the available literature on the Syrian civil war, migration and climate change relations, Ide et al. (2018a) conclude that despite the multidecadal drying trend and an exceptional drought before the onset of the conflict “comprehensive evidence through attribution studies of a (probabilistic) link to climate change is still lacking”, and that that there is still a lack of knowledge regarding whether the drought induced “migration, intensified existing grievances and facilitated the onset of protests and the subsequent civil war.”

**End Box 5.3.1**
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